

DESY 08-067,

Higher order corrections to heavy flavour production in deep inelastic scattering *

I. BIERENBAUM, J. BLÜMLEIN AND S. KLEIN [†]

DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON, DESY, PLATANENALLEE 6,
D-15738 ZEUTHEN, GERMANY

In the asymptotic limit $Q^2 \gg m^2$, the non-power corrections to the heavy flavour Wilson coefficients in deep-inelastic scattering are given in terms of massless Wilson coefficients and massive operator matrix elements. We start extending the existing NLO calculation for these operator matrix elements by calculating the $O(\varepsilon)$ terms of the two-loop expressions and having first investigations into the three-loop diagrams needed to $O(\alpha_s^3)$.

PACS numbers: PACS numbers come here

1. Introduction

In deep-inelastic scattering, the differential cross-section with respect to the Bjorken-variable x and the virtuality of the photon Q^2 , can be expressed in terms of the unpolarized structure functions $F_2(x, Q^2)$ and $F_L(x, Q^2)$, and the polarized structure functions $g_1(x, Q^2)$, $g_2(x, Q^2)$. For small values of x , the contributions of heavy charm product to $F_2(x, Q^2)$, $F_2^{cc}(x, Q^2)$, are of the order of 20 - 40 %, and therefore deserve and need a more detailed investigation. So far there exist NLO - 2-loop - heavy flavour corrections to $F_2^{p,d}(x, Q^2)$ in the whole kinematic range, calculated in a semi-analytic way in x -space [1]. A fast implementation for complex Mellin N -space was given in [2]. One observes that $F_2^{cc}(x, Q^2)$ is very well described by an asymptotic result for $F_2^{cc}(x, Q^2)|_{Q^2 \gg m^2}$ for $Q^2 \gtrsim 10m_c^2$. For these higher values of Q^2 , one can calculate the heavy flavour Wilson coefficients, the perturbative part of the structure functions $F_2(x, Q^2)$ and $F_L(x, Q^2)$, analytically, which has been done for $F_2(x, Q^2)$ to 2-loop order in [3, 4] and for $F_L(x, Q^2)$ to

* Presented at Cracow Epiphany Conference 2008

[†] This paper was supported in part by SFB-TR-9: Computergestützte Theoretische Teilchenphysik, and the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes.

3-loop order in [5]. First steps towards an asymptotic 3-loop calculation for $F_2^{c\bar{c}}(x, Q^2)$ have been done by the authors by calculating the first $O(\varepsilon)$ terms of the 2-loop diagrams [6], contributing to 3-loop heavy flavour Wilson coefficients via renormalization. We report here on further steps towards a full 3-loop calculation.

2. Heavy flavour Wilson coefficients in the limit $Q^2 \gg m^2$

On the twist-2 level, the structure functions can be expressed as a convolution of perturbatively calculable Wilson coefficients and non-perturbative parton densities. We consider here the heavy flavour contributions to these Wilson coefficients, the heavy flavour Wilson coefficients. In the region $Q^2 \gg m^2$, one can use the massive renormalization group equation to obtain all non-power corrections to these heavy flavour Wilson coefficients as convolutions of massless – light – Wilson coefficients $C_k(Q^2/\mu^2)$ and massive operator matrix elements (OMEs) $A_{ij}(\mu^2/m^2)$ [1]. The light Wilson coefficients are known by now up to three loops [7] and carry all the process dependence. The operator matrix elements, on the other hand, are universal, process-independent objects, which are calculated as flavour decomposed operators in light-cone expansion between partonic states. Both objects have an expansion in α_s , where one should note that the external legs of the diagrams contributing to the OMEs are on-shell.

3. Massive operator matrix elements

In order to perform the 3-loop calculation of the OMEs, one has to first calculate the bare quantities and then to renormalize them, where they need to be mass- and charge-renormalized and contain ultraviolet (UV) and collinear divergences. The mass renormalization is done in the on-shell scheme [8, 9], whereas the charge renormalization is done using the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme. After mass- and charge-renormalization, the remaining UV-divergences are accounted for by operator renormalization via Z-factors, Z_{ij} , and the collinear divergences via mass factorization, multiplying by Γ_{ij} . The Z-factors are given by the generic formula:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{ij}(N, a_s, \varepsilon) = & \delta_{i,j} + a_s S_\varepsilon \frac{\gamma_{ij,0}}{\varepsilon} + a_s^2 S_\varepsilon^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{im,0} \gamma_{mj,0} + \beta_0 \gamma_{ij,0} \right] + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \gamma_{ij,1} \right\} \\ & + a_s^3 S_\varepsilon^3 \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \left[\frac{1}{6} \gamma_{in,0} \gamma_{nm,0} \gamma_{mj,0} + \beta_0 \gamma_{im,0} \gamma_{mj,0} + \frac{4}{3} \beta_0^2 \gamma_{ij,0} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left[\frac{1}{6} (\gamma_{im,1} \gamma_{mj,0} + 2 \gamma_{im,0} \gamma_{mj,1}) + \frac{2}{3} (\beta_0 \gamma_{ij,1} + \beta_1 \gamma_{ij,0}) \right] + \frac{\gamma_{ij,2}}{3\varepsilon} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which has to be adapted for the various flavour decomposed combinations. The indices i, j here either run over $i, j \in \{q, g\}$ or denote the non-singlet

combination. The pure-singlet Z-factor is given by: $Z_{qq}^{PS} = Z_{qq} - Z_{NS}$. $\gamma_{ij,k}$ are the k-loop anomalous dimensions and β_i denote the expansion coefficients of the beta function. The Γ_{ij} are in the massless case the inverses of the Z-factors, however, since we always have at least one heavy quark loop, they differ and the $\Gamma_{i,j}$ contribute at most to two-loop subdiagrams. Let us consider, e.g., the renormalized gluonic matrix element A_{Qg} , where $\hat{A}_{Qg}$ denotes the mass- and charge-renormalized expression. One finds then:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{Qg} &= Z_{qq}^{-1} \hat{A}_{Qq}^{PS} \Gamma_{qg}^{-1} + Z_{qq}^{-1} \hat{A}_{Qg} \Gamma_{gg}^{-1} + Z_{qq}^{-1} \hat{A}_{gq,Q} \Gamma_{qg}^{-1} + Z_{qq}^{-1} \hat{A}_{gg,Q} \Gamma_{gg}^{-1} \\ A_{Qg}^{(2)} &= \hat{A}_{Qg}^{(2)} + Z_{qq}^{-1,(1)} \hat{A}_{Qg}^{(1)} + Z_{qq}^{-1,(1)} \hat{A}_{gg}^{(1)} + Z_{qq}^{-1,(2)} + \left(\hat{A}_{Qg}^{(1)} + Z_{qq}^{-1,(1)} \right) \Gamma_{gg}^{-1,(1)} \end{aligned}$$

As the general expression in the first line already indicates, there is a mixing with $\hat{A}_{Qq}^{PS}$ and $\hat{A}_{gg,Q}$ from $O(\alpha_s^3)$, while $\hat{A}_{gq,Q}$ starts contributing from $O(\alpha_s^2)$ and therefore at $O(\alpha_s^4)$ to $\hat{A}_{Qg}$. For $A_{Qg}^{(2)}$ given in the second line, one finds the $O(\varepsilon)$ term of the gluonic one-loop OME, $\bar{a}_{Qg}^{(1)}$, entering the two-loop expression via renormalization, as described for example in [3, 4, 6]. In the same way, for the renormalization of $A_{Qg}^{(3)}$, the $O(\varepsilon)$ -term of the two-loop expression $\bar{a}_{Qg}^{(2)}$ is needed – as are the terms $\bar{a}_{gg}^{(2)}$, $\bar{a}_{Qq}^{(2),PS}$ due to the above mentioned operator mixing, and the $O(\varepsilon^2)$ of the one-loop $A_{Qg}^{(1)}$. The calculation of these $O(\varepsilon)$ terms is a first step towards a 3-loop calculation, cf. section 3.1., while first calculations of 3-loop diagrams for fixed Mellin N are described in section 3.2.

As a last remark, note that we consider charm quark contributions here, while for heavier quarks decoupling [10] has to be applied.

3.1. Two-loop diagrams to $O(\varepsilon)$ for general Mellin N

Our calculation is performed in Mellin space, where the convolution of functions becomes a simple product. The $O(\varepsilon)$ terms for the unpolarized gluonic OMEs, as for the pure-singlet and non-singlet cases, have been given in [6] for general Mellin N. The corresponding polarized contributions are to be published soon. The calculation is performed in two ways: on the one hand, we rewrote the OMEs in terms of Mellin-Barnes integrals and used M. Czakon's mathematica package MB [11] to obtain numeric results, serving as a check for the analytic results, which have been obtained expressing the OMEs as generalized hypergeometric functions. Expanding these functions in ε , one has to re-sum the expression for the desired order, which we did using integral techniques and C. Schneider's mathematica package SIGMA [12]. The results are then given in terms of nested harmonic sums [13, 14],

to which we applied algebraic and analytic simplifications [15, 16] to find the easiest possible representation.

The term $A_{gg}^{(3)}$ has been newly calculated and is given up to order $O(\varepsilon)$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{A}_{gg,Q}^{(2)} = & T_F C_A \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(-\frac{32}{3} S_1 + \frac{64(N^2 + N + 1)}{3(N-1)N(N+1)(N+2)} \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(-\frac{80}{9} S_1 + \frac{16P_1}{9(N-1)N^2(N+1)^2(N+2)} \right) \right. \\
& + \left(-\frac{8}{3} \zeta_2 S_1 + \frac{16(N^2 + N + 1)\zeta_2}{3(N-1)N(N+1)(N+2)} - 4 \frac{56N + 47}{27(N+1)} S_1 + \frac{2P_3}{27(N-1)N^3(N+1)^3(N+2)} \right) \\
& + \varepsilon \left(-\frac{8}{9} \zeta_3 S_1 - \frac{20}{9} \zeta_2 S_1 - \frac{S_1^2}{3(N+1)} + \frac{16(N^2 + N + 1)\zeta_3}{9(N-1)N(N+1)(N+2)} + \frac{P_5}{81(N-1)N^4(N+1)^4(N+2)} \right. \\
& + \left. \frac{4P_1\zeta_2}{9(N-1)N^2(N+1)^2(N+2)} - 2 \frac{328N^4 + 256N^3 - 247N^2 - 175N + 54}{81(N-1)N(N+1)^2} S_1 + \frac{2N+1}{3(N+1)} S_2 \right) \Big\} \\
& + T_F C_F \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\frac{16(N^2 + N + 2)^2}{(N-1)N^2(N+1)^2(N+2)} \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{4P_2}{(N-1)N^3(N+1)^3(N+2)} \right) \right. \\
& + \left(\frac{4(N^2 + N + 2)^2\zeta_2}{(N-1)N^2(N+1)^2(N+2)} - \frac{P_4}{(N-1)N^4(N+1)^4(N+2)} \right) \\
& + \varepsilon \left(\frac{4(N^2 + N + 2)^2\zeta_3}{3(N-1)N^2(N+1)^2(N+2)} + \frac{P_2\zeta_2}{(N-1)N^3(N+1)^3(N+2)} + \frac{P_6}{4(N-1)N^5(N+1)^5(N+2)} \right) \Big\} \\
P_1 = & 3N^6 + 9N^5 + 22N^4 + 29N^3 + 41N^2 + 28N + 6, \\
P_2 = & N^8 + 4N^7 + 8N^6 + 6N^5 - 3N^4 - 22N^3 - 10N^2 - 8N - 8, \\
P_3 = & 15N^8 + 60N^7 + 572N^6 + 1470N^5 + 2135N^4 + 1794N^3 + 722N^2 - 24N - 72, \\
P_4 = & 15N^{10} + 75N^9 + 112N^8 + 14N^7 - 61N^6 + 107N^5 + 170N^4 + 36N^3 - 36N^2 - 32N - 16, \\
P_5 = & 3N^6 + 9N^5 + 307N^4 + 599N^3 + 746N^2 + 448N + 96, \\
P_6 = & 1473N^{10} + 7365N^9 - 40888N^8 - 201406N^7 - 377863N^6 - 391687N^5 - 230434N^4, \\
& - 56896N^3 + 480N^2 - 4608N - 3456, \\
P_7 = & 2N^6 + 4N^5 + N^4 - 10N^3 - 5N^2 - 4N - 4, \\
P_8 = & 4N^{10} + 16N^9 + 4N^8 - 92N^7 - 156N^6 - 74N^5 + 11N^4 - 17N^2 - 12N - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

where we have calculated an all order ε result, which is solely given in terms of Euler Γ and ψ functions. This expression is also needed in the context of the variable flavour number scheme [1].

In the unpolarized case, all 2-loop $O(\varepsilon)$ terms are now known. In the polarized case, the calculation proceeds in the same way and we calculated so far the gluonic, pure-singlet and non-singlet terms, which will be published soon [6].

3.2. Fixed values of N at three loops

As a next step towards a full $O(\alpha_s^3)$ calculation, we started calculating unpolarized three-loop OMEs $A_{ij,Q}^{(3)}$ for fixed values of Mellin N . The contributing OMEs are: singlet: $\{A_{Qg}, A_{gg,Q}, A_{gq,Q}\}$, pure-singlet: A_{Qq}^{PS} ,

non-singlet: $\{A_{qq,Q}^{NS,+}, A_{qq,Q}^{NS,-}, A_{qq,Q}^{NS,v}\}$, where we have operator mixing between the singlet and pure-singlet terms. The first object of investigation is the gluonic $A_{Qg}^{(3)}$: The necessary three-loop diagrams are generated using QGRAF [17], where the operator product expansion has been implemented up to insertions of operators with three and four gluonic lines. The number of diagrams contributing to $A_{Qg}^{(3)}$, e.g., is 1478 diagrams with one and 489 diagrams with two quark loops, where at least one of the loops is heavy. The steps for the calculation of these self-energy type diagrams with one additional scale set by the Mellin variable N , are the following: The diagrams are genuinely given as tensor integrals due to the operators contracted with the light-cone vector Δ , $\Delta^2 = 0$. The idea is, to first undo this contraction and to develop a projector, which, applied to the tensor integrals, provides the results for the diagrams for a specific (even) Mellin N under consideration. So far, we implemented the projector for the first 4 contributing Mellin N , $N = 2, \dots, 8$, where the color factors are calculated using [18]. The diagrams are then translated into a form, which is suitable for the program MATAD [19] by M. Steinhauser, which does the expansion in ε for the remaining massless and massive three-loop tadpole-type diagrams. We have implemented these steps into a FORM [20] program and tested it against some of our two-loop results and the all-order ε result of $A_{gg,Q}^{(2)}$ and found agreement. We then turned to a subset of the 3-loop diagrams, the diagrams $\propto T_F^2$: $T_F^2 C_F$, $T_F^2 C_A$, which are currently under investigation.

4. Conclusions and outlook

We calculated the $O(\varepsilon)$ contributions to heavy flavour Wilson coefficients for general Mellin variable N at $O(\alpha_s^2)$, as a first step towards a $O(\alpha_s^3)$ calculation. Furthermore, we installed a program chain to calculate the corresponding 3-loop diagrams to $O(\alpha_s^3)$, with the help of MATAD. This chain is now existing and we expect first results in the near future.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Laenen, S. Riemersma, J. Smith and W. L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B **392** (1993) 162; S. Riemersma, J. Smith and W. L. van Neerven, Phys. Lett. B **347** (1995) 143 [arXiv:hep-ph/9411431].
- [2] S. I. Alekhin and J. Blümlein, Phys. Lett. B **594** (2004) 299 [arXiv:hep-ph/0404034].
- [3] M. Buza, Y. Matiounine, J. Smith, R. Migneron and W. L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B **472** (1996) 611 [arXiv:hep-ph/9601302].

- [4] I. Bierenbaum, J. Blümlein and S. Klein, Nucl. Phys. B **780** (2007) 40 [arXiv:hep-ph/0703285].
- [5] J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, W. L. van Neerven and S. Klein, Nucl. Phys. B **755** (2006) 272 [arXiv:hep-ph/0608024].
- [6] I. Bierenbaum, J. Blumlein, S. Klein and C. Schneider, arXiv:0803.0273 [hep-ph]; I. Bierenbaum, J. Blumlein, S. Klein and C. Schneider, to appear.
- [7] J. A. M. Vermaseren, A. Vogt and S. Moch, Nucl. Phys. B **724** (2005) 3 [arXiv:hep-ph/0504242].
- [8] R. Tarrach, Nucl. Phys. B **183** (1981) 384;
O. Nachtmann and W. Wetzel, Nucl. Phys. B **187** (1981) 333.
- [9] N. Gray, D. J. Broadhurst, W. Grafe and K. Schilcher, Z. Phys. C **48** (1990) 673; D. J. Broadhurst, N. Gray and K. Schilcher, Z. Phys. C **52** (1991) 111.
- [10] B. A. Ovrut and H. J. Schnitzer, Nucl. Phys. B **179** (1981) 381; B **189** (1981) 509;
W. Bernreuther and W. Wetzel, Nucl. Phys. B **197** (1982) 228 [Erratum-ibid. B **513** (1998) 758];
W. Bernreuther, Annals Phys. **151** (1983) 127.
- [11] M. Czakon, Comput. Phys. Commun. **175** (2006) 559 [arXiv:hep-ph/0511200].
- [12] C. Schneider, Ann. Comb., **9** (1) (2005) 75; Proc. ISSAC'05, (2005) pp. 285 (ACM Press); Proc. FPSAC'07, (2007) 1; J. Differ. Equations Appl., **11** (9) (2005) 799; J. Algebra Appl., **6** (3) (2007) 415;
C. Schneider, Sémin. Lothar. Combin. **56** (2007) Article B56b and Habilitation Thesis, JKU Linz, (2007).
- [13] J. Blümlein and S. Kurth, Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999) 014018.
- [14] J. A. M. Vermaseren, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **14** (1999) 2037.
- [15] J. Blümlein, Comput. Phys. Commun. **159** (2004) 19 [arXiv:hep-ph/0311046].
- [16] J. Blümlein, DESY 07-042.
- [17] P. Nogueira, J. Comput. Phys. **105** (1993) 279.
- [18] T. van Ritbergen, A. N. Schellekens and J. A. M. Vermaseren, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **14** (1999) 41 [arXiv:hep-ph/9802376].
- [19] M. Steinhauser, Comput. Phys. Commun. **134** (2001) 335, [arXiv:hep-ph/0009029].
- [20] J. A. M. Vermaseren, arXiv:math-ph/0010025.